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THE KAKAPO (Strigops habroptilus, Gray)
A REVIEW AND RE-APPRAISAL OF A NEAR-EXTINCT SPECIES

By G. R. WILLIAMS
New Zealand Wildlife Service, Wellington, New Zealand

Rarity is the attribute of a vast number of species of all classes in all countries. If we ask ourselves why this or that species is rare, we can answer that something is unfavourable in its conditions of life: but what that something is we can hardly ever tell.

C. Darwin (1859): The Origin of Species

Introduction
To write at some length on a species one has not yet seen may indicate temerity rather than wisdom unless sound justification can be offered for such action. In the case of the kakapo there are a number of good reasons for summarising the knowledge we at present have about this very interesting rare parrot— for, as will be seen later, some remarkable and unique habits have been ascribed to it.

First of all, there has been no comprehensive account of the species now for over half a century—the most valuable being those of Buller (1888 and 1905) and Henry (1903b), neither of which is readily available; and the shorter accounts by those few observers with first-hand knowledge (in particular, Hector, Haast, Henry and Douglas) are scattered and often to be found only in very inaccessible journals. Secondly, the history in the changes in the numbers and distribution of the bird needs to be more carefully discussed than before and brought up to date, for the ecological study of rare species or of the process of extinction is one that has been, so far, largely neglected. Finally, by making the information that exists about the species more readily available, those specially concerned with its protection will be placed in a better position than formerly to take action for ensuring as far as possible its conservation—either in captivity or in the wild—before it becomes too late.

Description
This may be found fairly fully described in Oliver (1955) or in great detail in Buller (1873 and 1888), and Newton (1893-96) lists some useful papers dealing with anatomy; but briefly the kakapo is a large parrot standing about two feet in height, moss-green and barred with black above, pale-yellow-green irregularly barred with dark-brown and lemon-yellow below. The well-developed and owl-like facial disc is yellowish-brown, and the shafts of the feathers at the base of the mandible are prolonged into hair-like processes rather reminiscent of cats' whiskers and perhaps serving a similar function. In its natural environment of the moss-covered forest floor the bird's colouration is highly cryptic—at least to human eyes—and this in an environment where mammalian predators were originally completely lacking and where the bird's mainly crepuscular and nocturnal activities would seem to keep it almost wholly out of the reach of the only two likely (falconiform) avian predators. Although the wings are well-developed and well-proportioned, the wing muscles are overlaid with fat and there is only a rudimentary keel to the sternum. Thus the kakapo...
is usually capable only of downward gliding flight (up to about 90 yards having been recorded), and the wings are used mainly as balancing organs when it is running or climbing. However, Mr R. I. Kean has informed me that he once saw a kakapo make a distinctly upward flight of some feet, flapping its wings clumsily and with great vigour as it did so. According to Reischek (1884) and Henry (1903b) the male may be distinguished from the female by its larger size, heavier build and brighter plumage; and the same authors state that the young are much duller than their parents and that the nestlings are at first covered with white down which, in about a month, gives place to feathers, the down remaining upon the feathers until the birds are about three months old.

Colour plates have been published by Gray (1845a), Buller (1873 and 1888) and the Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (1948), and Buller gives an account of a number of colour variations in the plumage —a kind of variation commonly displayed by New Zealand parrots. Only about a dozen photographs of the live bird have ever been taken, and of these few only those of Beattie (1930) and Clark (1939) are satisfactory, as they show — among other things — the well-developed wings.

**Taxonomy**

This traditionally thorny subject is one that, as far as the kakapo is concerned, I do not—at present—intend to discuss at any length. In any case, a careful review of the considerable amount of material housed in museums both in New Zealand and overseas is long overdue. A single genus is confined to New Zealand, and Oliver (1955) and Fleming et al. (1953) refer to the three subspecies recognized by Mathews and Iredale (1913): Strigops h. habroptilus Gray —the South Island 'lowland' race, S. h. innominatus Mathews and Iredale—the North Island race, and S. h. parsoni Mathews and Iredale—the South Island 'alpine' race. Goodwin (in litt.) has kindly supplied me with information about the two of these type specimens which are in the British Museum of Natural History: 'The type of S. habroptilus is a bird that had been mounted. The only locality on the label is "New Zealand", and it is down in the catalogue as having been purchased. S. innominatus is labelled "Wellington, New Zealand", and was presented by a Miss Potter.' Some additional remarks on each of these may be of interest: 'S. habroptilus' is apparently the first specimen to come into European hands and is, the one described by Gray (1845a) and b, 1847) as having been collected from Dusky Sound in the south-western corner of the South Island; it is, of course, the type of its nominate subspecies. That of 'S. innominatus' bears the rather unsatisfactory locality of 'Wellington' on its label and should therefore be regarded with some reserve meanwhile as a bona fide North Island specimen, for recent specimens from the south-western extremity of the North Island have not otherwise been recorded. If indeed it does belong to the North Island it may have come from the Kaimanawa Ranges (which do lie within Wellington Province) south of Lake Taupo—perhaps the only area in the North Island from which recent kakapo material has been obtained in European times. But one does rather wonder whether the locality given on the label may be no more than the city address of the donor! The third subspecies—S. h. parsoni—from the north-western mountains of the South Island (presumably the Tasman Mountains) was erected by Mathews and Iredale from a single specimen in the G. M. Mathews' collection, although Reischek (1887b) talks of having collected specimens of the 'rare alpine variety' between Dusky and Chalky Sounds in 1887; and Howe, an early surveyor quoted by Miss G. M. Mueller (in litt.), says that alpine kakapo were paler and yellower than those from the forest. It is arguable whether any alpine birds should be regarded as representing a true subspecies, for we know that kakapo range from the forest to the alpine meadows, and minor colour differences may be no more than temporary ones resulting from some time spent in either environment, and certainly one specimen is insufficient to establish
valid taxonomic differences in this case. Mayr, Linsley and Usinger (1953) define subspecies as ‘geographically defined aggregates of local populations which differ taxonomically from other such sub-divisions of a species’. The so-called alpine subspecies hardly complies with this definition, though it may be one extreme of a cline. As for ‘S. greyi’, now in the possession of the British Museum of Natural History, this is regarded as being a colour variant only. No specimens exist of kakapo from Stewart Island. If the birds that have been seen there are not descendants of those said to have been liberated about 60 years ago, we may have here another valid subspecies—parallelling, for example, those of the Stewart Island kiwi and weka.

As far as the affinities of the kakapo are concerned, Reichenow (1881) and Berlioz (1941) consider that a close one exists, between Strigops and the perhaps even rarer Australian ground parrot, Geopsittacus occidentalis.

**Habitat**

Kakapo may be found at any altitude between sea level and at least 4000 feet in country where the rainfall may lie between the limits of about 75 and perhaps 250 inches per year. They are primarily birds of the mossy beech (Nothofagus spp.) forest—more particularly that part adjoining open ground along river flats or the sub-alpine scrub belt which yields in turn to the expansive snow tussock (Danthonia spp.) meadows above the tree line. Henry (1903b) remarks that a favourite place was the tumbled mass of rocks and growth of shrubs (in particular, Nothopanax spp. and Schefflera digitata) that could be found on the site of a fairly recent landslide. Such areas, because of the abundance of food berries they supported, he called ‘kakapo gardens’. Apart from these, however, one gains the impression that kakapo tend to be commoner at higher altitudes than at lower, and Henry frequently talks of them being plentiful above the tree line. Douglas (unpubl.) perhaps a little too sweepingly claims never to have seen them ‘outside the white mountain birch’ (sic); and Brodrick (1897), in describing his experience of the birds on an expedition between Lake Ohau and Paringa, remarks that the characteristic feeding signs could be seen on every grass flat visited, but that they were generally more plentiful just above the tree line. This apparent commonness of the birds at high altitudes (about 3000 feet and above) may be just a reflection of the greater obviousness of their sign in these more open places. Occasionally kakapo have been found in predominandy podocarp forest—such as occurs along the mid-west coast of the South Island. Such occurrences are few, however.

**Distribution**

**General:**

Originally kakapo existed over most of the two main islands of New Zealand and perhaps, as will be seen later, on Stewart Island and the Chathams as well. However, as the distribution of the sub-fossil remains shows, their range had already become considerably reduced before the beginning of the European era, and, judging from Maori tradition (Best 1908, 1909 and Brunner 1952), the reduction of the range in both the North and South Islands had been a process quite noticeably in progress in immediate pre-European times. In the last century or so the range has continued to shrink—at a pace no doubt accelerated more than it would otherwise have been—by the reduction of forest that invariably accompanies settlement—a reduction that has proceeded much further in the North Island than in the South; but the general discussion of this subject of accelerated diminution in range and numbers will be continued after past and present distribution has been described in more detail.

In mapping the detailed distribution (which, although as complete as possible, is not claimed to be exhaustive) I have used only actual sightings, museum records of skeletal remains, egg records and satisfactorily-identified
feathers found in the field. Accounts of calls, tracks, feeding signs and so on have not been entered on the maps because of the difficulty, in the majority of cases, of deciding upon their reliability. Even with the sight records some discretion has been necessary—reports reaching me second-hand have been accepted if the interviewer is an ornithologist willing to vouch for the reliability of the original observer and if it seems likely (whether the report be first-or second-hand) that kakapo have not been confused with kea (Nestor notabilis) or kaka (N. meridionalis). Only reports citing definite localities have been used, which means that much more museum material labelled ‘South Island’, ‘West Coast’, ‘Nelson’, etc, has had to be ignored; and in those instances when the locality given is definite enough but rather a large one—for example, ‘Dusky Sound’—I have placed the record in what seems to be the most likely place from the relevant account. Furthermore, whenever dating has not been precise, but nevertheless useful—such as an account published in 1955 stating that kakapo had been seen in a particular place ‘50 or more years ago’—I have shown the observation as being made in the earlier of any of the two major mapping periods that may be offered as a choice by such a statement. These conventions may have given rise to minor errors of some miles or years in a few cases, but they at least ensure as full a use as possible of perhaps significant records that would otherwise have to be ignored.

NORTH ISLAND
Whatever the main cause of the ‘natural’ shrinkage of kakapo range before the arrival of European settlers at the beginning of the nineteenth century, a glance at Map No. 1 shows clearly that its effect had been more intense in the North Island than in the South. Acceptable records of recent specimens are very few indeed and, with but one exception, are limited to the central part of the island, though sub-fossil remains are more widely distributed (and occasionally plentiful, Yaldwin 1956). There are extensive areas from which no kakapo material of any kind has yet been reported—notably Northland, Taranaki and the Gisborne—East Cape—Opotiki district, but this may reflect a lack of study in the less-settled country or a relative lack of suitable places for the long preservation of material rather than a real absence of the species from these areas. In brief, as far as is known at present, the range of the kakapo once stretched over much of the mountainous centre of the island, with offshoots extending in a north-westerly direction towards Auckland and in a southerly direction towards Cook Strait. Mr D. H. Brathwaite has kindly drawn my attention to some second-hand reports in Drummond (1907) which imply that kakapo were still surviving as far north as Whangarei and even the Bay of Islands, as well as on the south-eastern coast of the North Island, at a date as recent as about 1905. I have, so far, been unable to find elsewhere any other information to support these rather surprising statements.

Records referring to European times suggest that kakapo have never been numerous during most of this period, though there may have been minor local exceptions (Buller 1892). Even as early as 1843 we have Dieffenbach’s statement that they were rare; and Taylor (1855), whose missionary work had taken him over a considerable part of the centre of the North Island during the 1840s, hazarded the opinion that their extinction was already approaching. In his many publications between 1868 and 1905 Buller frequently includes reports of their diminishing numbers; and Best, who was in an unrivalled position to obtain reliable information from the older Urewera Maori, states that although kakapo were once numerous in parts of the Urewera country they had disappeared from there by the early nineteenth century. ‘Disappeared’ may be too strong a word, however: St Paul (1951) was informed that they were still being hunted in the 1870s. Maori traditions of once hunting the birds in the Tararua and neighbouring ranges are recounted by Stidolph (1935) and Gordon (1938).
I have been able to find acceptable records of only about ten occasions of recent North Island kakapo having been seen or collected, and, to the best of my knowledge, the whereabouts of none of the collected material is known. (As stated earlier, the type specimen for the North Island subspecies I regard at present as suspect.) Some details of these occasions may be of interest:

Taylor (1855) was apparently the first European to record seeing the North Island kakapo, and in referring to the country at the upper reaches of the Wanganui River he states: 'I have seen only two caught there.' The next account comes from Buller (1868) for he remarks that he had seen an example brought from Taupo, and his paper of 1892 contains the information that a Captain Mair was shown feathers of two birds killed at the foot of the Kaimanawa Range, near Tokaanu, at the southern end of Lake Taupo. His reference (1888) to a specimen from Hikurangi owned by a Captain Preece is, unfortunately, of little help in mapping the species' range because there are at least six places with this name in the North Island. However, as this Captain Preece is almost certainly the one who spent a great deal of time in the Urewera country in the 1870s, the Hikurangi referred to is probably the mountain some eight miles to the north-east of the township of Galatea. There should be a good chance of tracing this specimen if it still exists, and the importance of making such an attempt is obvious.* Oliver (1955) reports that a bird was caught in the Kaimanawa Range in 1906; Mr J. H. Russell (pers. comm.) found a small colony in beech forest north-west of Raurimu near the upper Wanganui River in about 1910, and Mr H. R. McKenzie (in litt.) has passed on to me a detailed account, which he regards as reliable, of a kakapo having been seen about 1912 near Cleddon in the Hunua Range, not far to the south-east of Auckland. This report—admittedly from outside the recognised range—is comparable in interest to the two 'outlying' South Island records which are given later. I am also indebted to Mr McKenzie for the record of a kakapo seen in the Huia Range, Urewera country, in 1927. He says the observer is reliable and that he gave an excellent description without having had prior knowledge of the species. Bathgate's report (1955) of them having been seen in the headwaters of the Ngaruroro River about the beginning of this century would place them in the Kaweka Range, which lies close to the Kaimanawas, and lends support to another but less definite account referring to the Kawekas by Bestall (in litt.).

If the species does still exist in the North Island its occurrence would now seem most likely to be limited to very small numbers living in the higher beech forests of the Kaimanawa and Huia Ranges. (The beech forests and tops of the seldom-visited Raukumara Range in the Gisborne-East Cape-Opotiki district should be worth a careful examination. The highest peak in the vicinity is Mt HikuranjG, and this Hikurangi is one of the two that nowadays, at least, are commonly referred to without qualification; the other—near Whangarei—seems most unlikely to be the one from which Preece's specimen came.) It is significant that there seem to have been no plausible records of the kakapo having been seen in the North Island now for over twenty-five years and no confirmed record for fifty. If not already extinct it must be in grave danger of becoming so.

In the early years of this century liberations of some South Island kakapo were made on two of the offshore islands—on Little Barrier in 1903, when four were landed and not seen thereafter (Oliver 1922); and on Kapiti in 1912, when three were introduced. Some of these birds—or their offspring—were seen on rare occasions until as late as 1936 (Wilkinson and Wilkinson 1952) and give hope that another and larger liberation might result in successful establishment there.

* (In press). Mr A. H. Preece (son of the late Captain Preece) has informed me that he has no knowledge of the present whereabouts of this specimen.
Kakapo have been well-known in the South Island since European settlement began and, of course, before that by the Maori. The distribution of their sub-fossil remains indicates that their range once extended over most of the island. However, with but two exceptions, all acceptable records of kakapo from east and beyond the chain of the Southern Alps are sub-fossil—as may be seen from Map No. 1—so perhaps the early reduction of range here has had a cause similar to that bringing about the pre-European reduction of range in the North Island. Elsewhere the birds have become less numerous in immediate pre-European and European times, and although their recognised habitat has usually been more frequently traversed in recent years than formerly they seem to have disappeared over large areas.

Because of the great amount of information that is available about numbers and distribution I have found it convenient to divide the island into rather arbitrary districts and to discuss each in turn. The longitudinal main mountain chain is the most obvious basis for the primary division, and I will deal first with the whole eastern side of the island and then with the more important western side—moving consecutively from the most northerly areas to the most southerly.

The Eastern Side:
As just mentioned, there are only two reasonably likely records (both by the same observer) of live kakapo having been seen beyond the immediate vicinity of the Southern Alps, and for that reason alone the records are of considerable interest. Mr G. Garland (1950 and in litt.) describes how in 1878, when out looking for kaka, he saw two kakapo appear from a thicket of rata vines at the foot of Mt Stokes in northern Marlborough, and that in 1879 he saw several at the head of Maori Bay, Pelorus Sound, some fifteen miles to the west. The general tenor of the accounts is such as to give a measure of confidence to their claim to reliability, and each observation gives additional weight to the other, nevertheless both records are surprising. Further south, in Canterbury, there have been accounts of ‘kakapo-like’ tracks having been seen in the upper catchments of the Rakaia (Haast 1948) and Wilberforce Rivers (Finlayson in litt.). Both of these refer to last century and are supported to some extent by a skin in the University Museum, Oxford, labelled ‘Rangirata’, which was collected before 1872 (Cain in litt.). The so-called Banks Peninsula specimen in the Canterbury Museum is ascribed to that locality on traditional grounds only, according to Dr R. Forster (pers. comm.) and therefore must be ignored as such.

The Western Side:
Among the early explorers of Nelson Province, Brunner (1952), Mackav (1860) and Haast (1861)—apparently relying for their comparisons upon information given by the local Maori—state that the species had already become scarce in at least parts of southern and western Nelson at the time they passed through the country. Others such as Buller (1873), Guthrie-Smith (1936), Brereton (1947), Bell (1948) and Haast (1948) suggest that in other areas kakapo were still numerous—sometimes until the end of the nineteenth century. South of Collingwood, around Gouland Downs, the annual reports to the Department of Internal Affairs by R. E. Clouston, who was caretaker of the Gouland Downs Sanctuary from about 1915 to about 1930, contain frequent references to kakapo seen or to evidence that they were present. However, on reading these reports in full, the impression is obtained that, on the whole, numbers were decreasing over this time. Some idea of the numbers of kakapo on the Downs in the early 1920s may be gathered from the fact that a number were captured, apparently to be sent to the Wembley Exhibition in London, in 1924 (Falla pers. comm.). However, over about the last twenty-five years there seem to be no reliable records of kakapo having been seen in Nelson Province, although there are rumours that they still occur in some of the western mountain ranges.
There is little first-hand information referring to the northern part of Westland down to the vicinity of Bruce Bay. A few specimens have been collected from the area (see Map No. 1) — the last apparently in 1907 at Moonlight, near Atarau on the Grey River (Jackson in litt.). Three observers who had a good knowledge of the area and its birds fix, by implication, the last period of comparative abundance of the species before about 1870 (Hamilton 1878, Smith 1888 and Douglas unpubl.). Other reports seem to be derived from these or are second-hand from sources that cannot be traced.

From Bruce Bay to about Jackson Bay and Lake Wanaka accounts of kakapo are frequent before 1900, and most observers comment upon their abundance in many places (Haast 1864, Douglas, Harper in litt., Howe in litt., and Haast 1948). Douglas states, perhaps a little picturesquely: 'I have seen as many as half a dozen . . . knocked off one tutu bush.' This particular observer, who has the reputation of being reliable and of possessing excellent first-hand knowledge of the kakapo, implies that their numbers were already on the wane before the end of this century, for he wrote in 1899: 'At one time the kakapo swarmed in that country from the sea beach to near the snow line'; and Mueller (1890), who also explored the area, suggests that the decline was noticeable before 1890. Park's comments (1890) on the abundance of kakapo in a number of places must be treated with reserve, for it is plain in his controversy with Melland on takahe and kakapo calls that he was unfamiliar with either. The Douglas papers are a valuable source of information about the Landsborough River district, and at the time that Douglas was most active here (about 1880) the birds were plentiful, for he says: 'To know what it is to be like in the kakapo country before the appearance of the stoat, the flats of the Landsborough River was the place to go — they were literally in hundreds round the camp. . . . Sometimes it was not possible to sleep for the noise'; and Harper, referring to the same area, states: 'In December 1894 . . . I found kakapo in the bush on the western slopes of the Divide — not in any great numbers, but they were still there. On the western bank of the river I could hear them in hundreds on the Hooker Range.' Brodrick (1890 and 1897), too, about this time considered them numerous in the Landsborough Valley. Reports of kakapo having been seen in the Bruce Bay - Jackson Bay district since 1900 seem limited to Baigent's (in litt.) for the Red Pike Gorge in 1951, and Holloway's (1936) which refers to kakapo seen that year in the Five Fingers Range. A reliable second-hand one that the late Mr W. O'Leary had seen them on several occasions in the sub-alpine scrub zone on the seaward end of the Haast Range and in the Joe River Valley has been passed on to me by Mr J. T. Holloway (in litt.) The actual dates are not known, for Holloway comments: 'These observations might have been made anytime between 1890 and 1930; but “Arawhata Bill” was seldom in error about his identification of birds.' The year 1935 was fixed by Gunn (in litt.) as the date for the main disappearance of ground birds throughout the area he knew. He held ‘an invasion’ of stoats responsible and said the damage was completed in about six months.

For the Martin Bay - Milford Sound area there is little information about the occurrence of kakapo before 1900 or even before 1930; but since that date there have been occasional sight records mainly from the Cleddau Valley and the Tutoko Valley which joins it near Milford Sound itself. In fact, sight records from this district are probably far more numerous in proportion to area than those from any other part of New Zealand during the last twenty-five years — perhaps because it is regularly frequented by large numbers of tourists and trampers, and because of fairly continuous occupation of that part of the Cleddau Valley along which the motor road to Milford Sound has been built. If the frequency of reports is actually connected with the degree to which the area has been covered, then this obviously will have a bearing on any rough qualitative estimates made of the present distribution and numbers of this species throughout its likely range in New Zealand.
The next block of country that may be conveniently considered is that between Milford Sound, Doubtful Sound and Lake Te Anau (see Map No. 2). Before 1900 we have testimony from reliable explorers and naturalists such as Caples (1863), Hügel (1875), Henry, Melland (1889), Wilmot (1897) and Mackenzie (1894) — all of whom had first-hand knowledge — that kakapo were common, to about 1890 at least, over much of the area. The next period for which I have any information is that extending over approximately the last thirty years. For this I am dependent mainly upon data supplied by Mr K. R. Sutherland, who knows the area well and has always been particularly interested in the kakapo; and subsidiary information has come from the late Mr L. Murrell, of Manapouri, who had excellent opportunities during his long sojourn in the area to learn a great deal about the local bird species, their numbers, habits and distribution. The general tenor of their reports is that kakapo were still in fair numbers until about fifteen years ago, but that they have become distinctly rare since, particularly on the Te Anau or eastern ends of the Franklin, Stuart, Murchison and Kepler Ranges. The eastern ends of these mountains are frequently visited these days by hunters of wapiti and deer — and even ornithologists — but apart from an occasional feather or report of an old and disused track there are extremely few sight records for the last ten years or so (though Tily 1949 passes on information that they had been seen about Lake Manapouri in 1945), and this in country that, according to Hügel, Henry (1903a) and Melland, abounded in kakapo sixty to seventy years ago and where they were still common in some places twenty-five years ago at the most — judging from Sutherland’s various reports (in litt.). Whatever may have been their original abundance further to the west (there appear to be no reliable comments or records), kakapo do not seem to be numerous there in recent times, for the five-month occupation of the George and Caswell Sounds area by the New Zealand-United States Fiordland Expedition of 1949 produced only two sight records and little other evidence of their presence in spite of a great deal of exploration and movement by a large party (Poole 1951). In early 1956 a special expedition to the area at the head of George Sound found some tracks, ‘dusting bowls’, feeding sign and a few recent bones — all indicative of active occupation, but no birds were seen (Hall-Jones in litt.).

The last area to be considered on the western side of the South Island is that between Doubtful Sound and Lake Hauroko. As may be seen from Map No. 2 there have been many early sight records and specimens from here, but the two most valuable sources of information are the papers of Reischek and, in particular, the papers and reports of Henry. Starting in 1894, Henry lived as caretaker of Resolution Island in Dusky Sound until 1908, and during his stay explored much of the nearby mainland and other islands. In the beginning kakapo were plentiful in a number of places on the mainland, but of the many islands only Cooper, which is separated from the mainland at one point by a channel less than 100 yards wide, possessed ‘native’ kakapo. Over the next few years Henry trapped and transferred between three and four hundred from the mainland to these various islands — chiefly Resolution, where he set free about 270, and Long Island, where he landed about 70. (Earlier accounts by others of Henry’s activities have over-estimated the number of liberations made.) By 1904, however, he was already remarking on their diminution in numbers everywhere (he had caught most of his birds before 1899) and says: ‘They are few and far apart even in their favourite places’, and in 1908 he states that they were scarce everywhere — even on Resolution. Reischek found kakapo common in Dusky and Chalky Sounds during his collecting visits in the mid-1880s, but from 1900 onwards reliable reports are few. Apart from one sight record about 1932 (Miers pers. comm.) there are only very general accounts which tell of diminishing numbers; and recent efforts to find kakapo on Resolution Island and in part of Chalky Sound have been unsuccessful. Although they are said to have been known in earlier years from around Lakes Hakapoua and Hauroko, I have been unable to discover any sight
records from this area, but tracks and burrows that appear to have but only recently fallen into disuse have been reported from the Electric River, which flows into Lake Monowai (Kershaw pers. comm.).

STEWART ISLAND
In spite of reports that kakapo—or their sign—had been occasionally seen on the southern side of the island over approximately the last seventy years, little weight had been given to them until in 1949 Mr H. Vipond, a Wildlife Division field officer, actually caught and set one free near Seal Point. Two years later feathers were found at Port Pegasus, which lies nearby (Sansom in litt.) and which is the site of the earlier records. There are statements that kakapo from the West Coast fiords were liberated on the north coast of the island near the end of the last century (Woodrow in litt.); whether the birds that have been reported since are descendants of these, or whether—in spite of local bushmen's opinions to the contrary—there has always been a resident population are questions that at present must remain undecided.

CHATHAM ISLANDS
The earliest writers on the birds of these islands (which lie some 400 miles ESE of New Zealand) claim that kakapo once occurred there (Travers 1867 and Mackay 1867); and a bone in the Travers Collection has been identified by Dawson (1952) as belonging to this species. Nevertheless, it must still be regarded as doubtful whether kakapo ever did occur there, for even by 1872 the two Travers were convinced that the earlier claim was erroneous; and the mere fact that a kakapo bone occurs in the Travers Collection is no guarantee that it did, in fact, come from the Chathams—carelessness in labelling specimens was a notoriously common fault among naturalists last century. What, then, is one to make of the statement made by Forbes (1893) that he had been told by two locally-born residents that the species was common there 'in the early days of the Settlement' and that their burrows could be seen? In my opinion judgement should be reserved until more information is obtained.

Breeding and Nesting Behaviour
Alternation of breeding and non-breeding seasons:
On a number of occasions Henry claims that kakapo do not breed every year, but usually in alternate years. He uses as his main criterion of breeding activity the 'drumming' of the males, though he says (1903b), 'when there is no drumming in the early summer there are no eggs or young ones'. Furthermore, he claims that there are no exceptions in any one year—either all mature birds breed or none at all—'It is a great puzzle to naturalists why some do not breed in the off season or how they all come to such a unanimous agreement about it' (1903b); Melland, however, remarks that a few birds do drum in the off years. Sometimes more than one year is missed, and on one occasion the kakapos drummed in two succeeding years. This particular exception is apparently the only one of its kind that Henry accepted, and it is rather a satisfactory vindication of Henry's reliability that an independent observer cites exactly the same exception as applying in the Clinton River valley at the northern end of Lake Te Anau. Here, it is said, in contrast to their usual behaviour, the birds drummed in both the 1901-02 and the 1902-03 seasons (Fairclough and Fenwick 1903). From his various reports I have made a list of the 'drumming years'. All except the first refer to Dusky Sound, but as Henry claims that unanimity is reached on both side of the mountains I have included a date given by him for Lake Te Anau. Here then are the breeding seasons he recognised: 1890-91, 1894-95, 1897-98, 1899-1900, 1901-02, 1902-03, 1904-05, and 1907-08. For Dusky Sound the mean frequency is every second year. Because he takes 'drumming' as the sure sign of breeding activity, Henry is hard put to reconcile it with an abundance of food, for bound up with his theory is his claim that the
male has an air sac in the throat region with which it produces the noise and that this organ begins development months before the appointed breeding season. How then, he asks, can a connection be established between the start of development of the air sac and the good berry season which lies months ahead? As we shall see later in the section on calls, there is some reason to accept this air sac-drumming hypothesis with reserve; and if indeed kakapo did not breed every year it would be quite possible to make some sort of explanation in terms of dependence of food supply. A modification of his theory which Henry thinks the most acceptable is worth quoting in full, for it has a curiously modern ring about it and could have been taken almost as it stands from any of the more up-to-date text books of animal ecology: 'But should it be the density of the population, and consequently the supply of food during the previous winter, that modifies their conduct, it is a very beautiful arrangement...'

Although Lack (1954) has reviewed published data on the effect of food supply on the degree of success of breeding seasons of birds and other animals, and it is known that raptorial and some other birds will sometimes forego breeding in seasons of food shortage, it is rather hard to accept the relative regularity and complete unanimity claimed by Henry—even though he disarmingly discourages criticism with the remark (1904): 'This is one of the true stories that will not be believed in the future—"Can't understand it, won't believe it".' Reischek (1952), in quoting Maori tradition that the kakapo breeds only once in five years, at least offers some support for Henry's claims: 'I myself, for example, in the year 1884 found eggs and young in various stages of development, whereas in the following three years I saw no signs of breeding whatsoever in precisely the same neighbourhood;' and Andersen (unpubl.) has a similar comment that may, however, have been derived from Reischek's.

With all due deference to Henry and his valuable observations, we have here a subject that needs critical investigation. If this should prove him essentially correct, we are faced with a phenomenon remarkable for its relative regularity and near-unanimity and which is not explainable, insofar as present indications suggest, in terms of a greatly-prolonged nesting and fledgling period such as occurs among the larger albatrosses which do breed in alternate years (Richdale 1950). Certainly a species which showed such breeding behaviour as is described by Henry would run grave risks of extinction under certain circumstances. Unfortunately the status of the species is now such that the opportunity for a proper study of breeding behaviour in the wild may never again arise.

Breeding season, nest construction, clutch size, etc:

From the various papers of Henry and from Reischek (1884), Potts, Buller (1888), Haast (1948), Andersen and the occasional dated museum specimen of egg or chick, it appears that eggs are usually laid in January and February in the southern part of the range, with the odd nest being found as early as December or as late as mid-May (Reischek 1884)—this latter probably being a result of re-nesting. If the available records give a reliable picture of the timing of the laying activity, then kakapo are distinctly late nesters: as far as New Zealand birds are concerned, and we should not be surprised to find that this is connected with the time of maximum abundance of the berries that the birds apparently mainly rely upon for food. Comments from the authors just mentioned indicate that the clutch size usually varies between two and four eggs (Andersen mentions two clutches of five), but I have been able to find only one record of more than two chicks occurring in a nest. This is Andersen's second-hand record that out of a clutch of four, three hatched. Because either well-developed chicks of different sizes or chicks and eggs have been found together in the same nest, Lyall (1852) and Potts are of the opinion that the eggs are laid with a considerable interval between each. The smaller bird—presumed to be the female—being wholly responsible for incubation (the time for which is unknown) and, according to Henry, for the caring for
the young as well. (In the case of the takahe, Notornis mantelli, a similar state of affairs seems to exist as far as clutch size and successful hatching is concerned: Although two is the larger and commoner clutch, it is apparently unusual for the two eggs to hatch on those occasions when both are fertile. The intervals between laying are not known, but in one instance, at least, it is known that of two eggs near hatching one was more advanced than the other, which suggests that incubation begins with the laying of the first egg. Observations on the brooding behaviour of adults towards chicks suggest that the extent of the time difference in development between two such eggs may be the crucial factor affecting the second egg's chance of being successfully hatched, for the adults quit the nest within a very few days after a chick has hatched and no doubt neglect the second egg in their solicitude for the chick even before this (Williams, unpubl.)

Kakapo nests are usually situated in large crevices between rocks or tree roots, and, according to Lyall, Reischek (1884) and Potts, often have two entrances which lead to tunnels some feet long in which are excavated one or two chambers a foot or so high and about two feet in diameter. One of these chambers is used as the nest proper and may have its floor covered with feathers or wood dust. Haast (1864) is of the opinion that the birds may sometimes construct the whole nest complex themselves in soft ground instead of making use of natural crevices. A number of authors state that, in spite of the roominess of the burrows, only one bird is found in them at a time and that they are used throughout the year. Buller (1888), on the other hand, includes a report that, outside of the breeding season, up to six kakapo have been found in one burrow, and this is at least one contradiction of the opinion held by Henry that the birds were remarkably solitary in their habits.

**Food**

As an adult, at least, the kakapo is vegetarian in its diet, although Hügel found lizards in some birds taken on the western shore of Lake Te Anau. Berries of various shrubs are popular, particularly those of pate (Schefflera digitata), five-finger (Nothopanax aboreum), tutu (Coriaria aborea), mapou (Suttonia australis) and kotukutuku (Fuchsia excorticata). Kakapo also feed on the leaves of various shrubs (some of which have just been listed) and on snow tussock shoots (Danthonia spp.), fern roots, moss, some fungi, reeds, toetoe (Arundo conspicua), flax (Phormium spp.) and even honey (Henry 1903b). In the North Island the diet includes—according to Maori tradition—the berries of hinau (Elaeocarpus dentatus) and tawa (Beilschmeda tawa) and shoots of ti or cabbage tree (Cordyline spp.) and native broom (Carmichaelia spp.).

The method of feeding on leaves and twigs is peculiar, and, as with the takahe, feeding sign affords one of the most characteristic indications of the presence of kakapo. In fact, in these days when those with a first-hand knowledge of the species' calls are so few and its runways may be confused with those made by deer, the feeding sign should be the most reliable token of the bird's recent occupation of an area, for feathers and droppings may remain well-preserved in some places for a very long time indeed. Henry (1903b) states that kakapo 'chew their food more effectually than any other birds . . . for this purpose there are diagonal grooves in the upper mandible in contact with which the lower acts in the manner of a steel mill', and Brodrick (1897) remarks: 'Kakapo when feeding on grass never swallow the fibre; it is invariably rolled into pellets about the size of a marble, sucked until it has the appearance of tow and then put out again.' This macerated fibrous material is left dangling from the plant and, bleaching rapidly, soon becomes conspicuous in the feeding area. I have examined material collected by R. I. Kean and K. H. Miers from known recent and near-recent kakapo range which fits the early descriptions very well. Potts (1873), describing feeding sign on native flax, says: 'Leaves had been chewed quite two feet from the point; this peculiar process caused
the used portion of the leaf to look as though it had been roughly scutched and plaited.'

**Tracks**

A notable habit is the making of well-marked and carefully-tended tracks which apparently play an important part in the ecology of the species. All authors at all familiar with the bird make much of this characteristic. Generally the tracks are found on the summits of spurs and run out into the snow tussock. Henry (1903b) describes them thus: 'In the virgin forest, where nothing heavier than themselves has ever trodden the yielding moss, they have very distinct pathways, especially going up some small hill, where in the driest place on top a gleam of sunshine may enter among the trees. Here will be several "dusting holes" and signs of traffic, as if many birds go up there occasionally either singly or in companies.' Reischek (1884) mentions some of the behaviour connected with their use: 'It was very amusing to watch these creatures—generally one at a time—coming along the track feeding and giving a passing peck at any root or twig that might be in the way. Thus the tracks are always kept clean; in fact they very much resemble the native tracks, with the exception that they are rather narrower, being from eight to fourteen inches wide... I was curious to know how the birds would manage when their tracks were covered with snow... I found that they travelled on the surface of the frozen snow and that their tracks were soon plainly visible though not more than an inch below the level of the surrounding snow.' Sutherland (in litt.) says that he has known their main runway to extend unbroken for at least two miles; and he and Kean (pers. comm.) have both made the interesting suggestion that one of the factors causing the reduction in kakapo numbers has been the taking over of their tracks by the introduced species of deer.

Associated with the tracks are often 'dusting holes' (we cannot at present be certain of their true function), and Henry (1903b) describes them in detail: 'I climbed a high ridge... and all along its narrow top for half a mile were "dusting holes"... they were about eighteen inches in diameter, fairly level on the bottom, and three inches deep with steep sides. In some the peaty earth was pressed down firmly as if by the naked hand, while in others it was freshly raked up and loose. They were all connected by fresh well-beaten pathways... We found a hilltop that was nearly covered with pathways and "scratching holes". The ground all round looked as if there had been an attempt made to clear it of ferns and sticks, and every root was bitten and peeled as if they had tried to remove it.' Henry adds that he never found these 'dusting holes' below an altitude of 500 to 600 feet.

**Calls**

The most characteristic call of the kakapo is that commonly heard before and during the breeding season and described by most of the earlier authors as 'drumming' or 'booming'. Henry (1903b) describes it, frequently claims that it is usually heard only in alternate years, and takes its occurrence as indicating that the birds are about to breed, or, conversely, that when it is not heard no breeding will take place. He maintains that only males boom and that the noise is produced by the inflation of an air sac in the throat which develops before the beginning of the breeding season and that, when fully inflated, it is nearly as big as the body. I have been unable to find these last two statements confirmed by any other observer; nor, to the best of my knowledge, has any dissector of the species described such an air sac, although Henry (1898) notes that he had sent some of the 'drums' for examination to the professor of biology at the University of Otago at the time. He admits that he had never seen a kakapo boom and allows the possibility—perhaps suggested to him by Professor Parker—that the air sac might be a normal part of the respiratory system. Anyone must be chary of challenging Henry's unrivalled observations, but his claim that this genus of the Psittacidae possesses an inflatable air sac as part of the
vocal equipment should be treated with some scepticism at present, for such an organ is, to the best of my knowledge, unknown among the rest of the parrots.

Booming begins about Dusky Sound in late November and ends about mid-February, according to Henry, and a typical cycle proceeds as follows: 'They start with a couple of short grunts, and then five or six deep measured notes like the sound of a muffled drum, the loudest in the middle. This series will be repeated about three times in the daylight, and then there will be silence until some others take up the cry, perhaps miles away. . . . We got quite close to one when drumming and it was a powerful note. I could feel the tremble of it, and my boy, who was holding the dog 30 yards away, could also feel it. I thought the drumming was just at my feet . . . but we found him 40 yards away.' Elsewhere in the same publication (1903b), Henry says the note is similar to that of a bittern and may be heard at a great distance. Melland remarks that he has heard 'this powerful and alarming sound' from across Lake Te Anau—a distance of at least three miles; and Scarlett (1952), reporting an observation made in early February by J. Ede in Tutoko Valley, near Milford Sound, quotes him as describing a suspected kakapo cry as 'a booming call . . . answered in a similar manner by another bird further down the valley. The calls averaged from fourteen to sixteen booms, starting quietly and reaching a crescendo at the seventh boom'. This description tallies well with Henry's; and Mr Newton McConochie, who was familiar with the kakapo when they were common in inland Nelson, has kindly supplied me with his notation for the call: 'I would spell it “groom”, with the emphasis on the double “o” and a drawl on the “m”. The other tone [from a female?] was shriller, but of the same pattern.' Douglas, who knew the species well, refers frequently to the 'drumming' and comments upon its ventriloquistc quality.

It is rather interesting that Park, in a spirited argument with Melland about kakapo and takae—of all of whom knew kakapo in the field—as saying that the loud booming note at short intervals and described by him in an earlier paper (1888) was not recognised by any of them as being a call of the kakapo. (Park had claimed instead that it was the note of the takae; Melland that it was a kakapo. We now know that Park, at least, was wrong and in general the honours seem to be solidly with Melland.) That kakapo do in fact 'boom', though they have never been seen to do so, is established beyond doubt by observations made upon a captive bird in Christchurch in the summer of 1904 and reported to Henry. This bird called only at night or when it was in its shelter.

As for other calls Lyall says: 'The cry . . . is a hoarse croak, varied occasionally by a discordant shriek when irritated or hungry', and Park (1890) quotes Grey as remarking that a grunting noise is made while feeding. Sale (1870) makes similar comments, but all these authors are referring to captive birds. Hector, Hugel, Henry (1898), Douglas and Sutherland (pers. comm.) variously describe the usual cries of the wild birds as screams, squeals, coughs, grunts or mewings; and Douglas less prosaically remarks that in the heyday of the kakapo in the Landsborough Valley they could be heard 'screeching and yelling after dark like a lot of demons'. As two final variations on this theme there is the comment by Haast (1861) that the call of the kakapo heard during the night very much resembles the gobble of the turkey, and that by Hassing (1930) that a call he heard reminded him of 'a donkey in distress'. In spite of the rich variety of descriptions which indicates a similar richness either in the kakapo's repertoire or in the imaginations of the various authors, there seems little doubt that the calls are sufficiently different from those of all other birds likely to be heard in the deep forest—especially at night—to make tentative identification of the species possible.
Parasites

Reischek (1884) and Douglas report that they frequently found kakapo to be infected with tapeworms which apparently did not always cause the birds great inconvenience, for Reischek says he had found fat birds with a number of worms six inches to two feet long. He goes on to say that only alpine kakapo harboured these parasites, for all his attempts to find them in the bush-dwelling birds were unsuccessful. There is no reason at present to suspect that these tapeworms are other than native species, and if so they may be of considerable interest as far as the taxonomic relationships of the kakapo are concerned (c.f. Cameron 1952).

Miscellaneous

A lot of miscellaneous data—often anecdotal—about less important characteristics of the bird and its behaviour in captivity may be found in Buller (1873, 1888, 1905) and Henry’s various articles and papers, and these may be read in their original contexts by those particularly interested in the species, for they cannot easily be included in this paper. In addition, both Buller and Best recount some Maori lore that, although interesting, I have largely ignored. However, the tradition that kakapo showed marked flocking behaviour in the winter is one worth special mention, even though European authors have not themselves recorded it.

The Near-Extinction of the Kakapo

Speculation about the causes of the reduction in the range and numbers of the kakapo must, at this late stage, always remain no more than just speculation. Nevertheless, the subject is of considerable interest, and we are perhaps in a better position now than were earlier writers to review the various suggestions that have been made. The problem is, of course, bound up intimately with the larger one of the diminution in range and numbers of a number of species of the New Zealand avifauna; and it would be foolish to hope that any single simple theory will satisfactorily account for all the facts. As far as the kakapo is concerned, let us review the various hypotheses that have been put forward:

Destruction by Introduced Predators:

This is the most popular—no doubt because it appears to offer the most obvious explanation. Within European times three known avian predators have commonly occurred in kakapo range: the black rat (Rattus rattus), the brown rat (R. norvegicus) and the stoat (Mustela erminea); the other possible introduced mammalian predators—feral cats and European dogs, etc—are usually confined to the forest fringes near settlements. Although the rats have been present since the early nineteenth century, it must have taken some time for them to spread throughout the country. Mustelids, of which stoats are the main forest dwellers, were introduced about 1885—at about the same time, or just before, kakapo began to become noticeably scarce in the southern and western parts of the South Island. To connect the spread of these carnivorous mammals with an approximately contemporaneous decrease in a number of native bird species would seem a reasonable assumption if there were not some facts that appear not to be in harmony with it. For example, it is strange that at least two genera of flightless ground-nesting birds still occur in good numbers in kakapo range and each of these would appear to be at least as vulnerable to rat or stoat attack as the kakapo itself, which, according to Henry’s and Haast’s experience, is quite able to punish a dog severely with its powerful beak and claws as well as being able to climb trees and make short gliding flights. In these last two accomplishments, at least, it has an advantage over the kiwi (Apteryx spp.) and the weka (Gallirallus spp.). Even the recently-discovered takahe, which is also flightless and ground-nesting, has been able to survive in modest numbers in one area where kakapo once occurred and where nowadays stoats, but not ‘European’ rats, are present.
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Plate I: Kakapo – a mounted specimen. Bird lent by the Dominion Museum.
Plate II: A Kakapo 'dusting-bowl'. Diameter about 2ft. Depth about 4in. At 3,300ft. on Saddle Hill, George Sound.
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PLATE III
The Moutara Point gannetry (v. Notornis 7; 15, 16). Surge sweeps in under the best conditions.
General view from the island, with steep Moutara Point in background.
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Plate IV: Asiatic Whimbrel (N. p. variegatus) alert on the edge of a pack of dozing Bar-tailed Godwits (L. lapponica), on a shellbank at Karaka.
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However, these rats have been known to kill captive kakapo (Reischek 1887a) and it seems likely that they were responsible for the main destruction of the avifauna of Lord Howe Island (Hindwood 1940). Only rats have been established in New Zealand long enough to be a possible cause of the early reduction in some of the native species—a reduction which certainly antedates the establishment of all other likely predatory mammals*. However, it is recognised that any particular species' ability to resist predation may depend on factors too subtle for easy analysis. (For historical accounts of early changes in the avifauna see Buller (1873, 1888, 1905) and Oliver (1955), and for the progress of acclimatization of the various mammals see Thomson (1922) and Wodzicki (1950).) A special case of mammalian predation is that by Man, and the activities of collectors and settlers have been held by some to have had an appreciable effect on the numbers of some species. As far as the kakapo is concerned, the effect, if any, would have been only local and then only a proximate cause of the species' general near-extinction rather than an ultimate or major cause, for we must remember that there are still large areas of former kakapo range that even yet have been but rarely penetrated by Man.

Introduced Parasites and Diseases:
The suggestion has been made on a number of occasions (Doré 1918, Myers 1923, Laird 1950) that the native avifauna, because of its geographical isolation, would have had no opportunity to build up resistance to introduced parasites or to diseases such as avian malaria and ornithosis brought in by introduced species and that these new infections would wreak havoc as a result. The hypothesis is an interesting one, but would need a great deal of work to establish the likelihood of its truth. The little work that has been done so far by Doré and Laird is inconclusive, and the opportunity for studying the crucial native bird species may never occur because of their great rarity. In any case, it seems that a number of a posteriori assumptions would have to be made, and the possibility must always be kept in mind that the various migratory species visiting New Zealand may have been a means of introducing some of these infections over a very long time. Furthermore, as far as the acclimatized species of birds are concerned, a similar objection may be made (but perhaps with less force) to that already made in the instance of the introduced mammalian predators—that is, that some native species had already become scarce before the exotic avifauna could have had sufficient time to exert any widespread effect by spreading infection. The kakapo in the North Island and in parts of the north-west of the South Island would seem to be such an example of too early a diminution.

Competition for Food with Introduced Birds:
This hypothesis is perhaps second in its popular acceptance to that of the introduced predators and is the one favoured by Henry (1907) even though he viewed with such misgiving the arrival of the first mustelid on Resolution Island about 1900. However, general agreement with this suggestion has been far too uncritical in the past, even among professional ornithologists and ecologists, for even qualitative food habit studies of birds in New Zealand are not very far advanced at present, and it is a little unjustified to

*That is, if we except the Polynesian rat (R. exulans), which is regarded as being a vegetarian, and the now extinct feral 'Maori' dog—both of which were brought to New Zealand by the early Polynesian immigrants centuries ago. Some of the early explorers (see, for example, Brunner) report the Maori opinion that numerous packs of these feral dogs were responsible for a considerable reduction in the numbers of kakapo in pre-European times; but the same objections may be made to this suggestion as have already been made to the theory involving the other carnivorous mammals. Furthermore, feral 'Maori' dogs have apparently always been absent from the south-western part of the South Island.
assume that the food requirements of birds as different as large parrots and small passerines should show a great overlap.

**Altered Habitat:**
This hypothesis takes a number of forms, ranging from the rather obvious one of its direct alteration or destruction by Man to the subtler suggestions that changes have come about either by interaction between the introduced browsing mammals and the original environment—through, for example, their taking over of important food plants or the runways; or by (and even, perhaps, together with) secular changes in climate which would profoundly alter the vegetational environment in which the animals live; and Holloway (1954) has given a detailed account of changes in the forest now going on in parts of the South Island which he regards as being of this kind. In passing, there seems some difficulty—superficially at any rate—in satisfactorily explaining the disappearance of the kakapo strictly in terms of Holloway’s theory as it applies to existing forests; for he maintains that the general tendency is for beech forests to replace those of podocarps and therefore we might expect a predominantly beech-inhabiting species like the kakapo at least to have held its own. But on the eastern side of the South Island the apparently climatically-induced replacement of the original forest by tussock grassland would appear a sufficient reason in itself for the disappearance of the kakapo from there. However, speculation has already gone far enough to justify the inclusion of the quotation from The Origin of Species which heads this paper.

**To sum up:**
The distribution of sub-fossil remains indicates that the kakapo was a diminishing species before Man was able to exert any marked effect on the birds or their environment; so vegetational changes resulting from—but lagging behind—climatic changes may have been the primary cause of this diminution which was later accelerated by European settlement bringing about destruction of much of the forest—especially in the North Island. To what extent predation by introduced carnivorous mammals, competition for food or space by introduced browsing mammals or the introduction of disease may have intensified the process we do not know. However, it must be remembered that species (for example, kiwi, weka and takahē) perhaps just as sensitive to predation, and others (for example, kea, kaka and the parakeets) perhaps just as sensitive to diseases still survive—sometimes in good numbers—in areas where they once co-existed with kakapo. Thus, only the hypothesis that climatic change has been the fundamental cause of the main decrease seems relatively satisfactory. In recent times direct destruction of habitat by fire and axe has caused a major shrinkage of range in some areas, but apart from this we have no plausible explanation to offer for the otherwise apparently accelerated rate of extinction of the kakapo over the last seventy-five years.

**Conservation**
Courses of action that promise good prospects for the indefinite preservation of the kakapo are few. In fact only two merit serious consideration: (i) the keeping and breeding of them in captivity and, at the same time, (ii) the liberation of as many as possible on a suitable island sanctuary—Kapiti being perhaps the first choice. Although an island liberation is the more attractive course for scientific and sentimental reasons, preservation in captivity is surer; and it seems certain that no practical action can be taken to ensure that a mainland area will offer the species permanent security. Accounts by some nineteenth century authors (c.f. Buller 1888) show that kakapo take well to captivity, but should they prove unready to breed even under the best conditions of confinement, no doubt they could be stimulated to do so. A strictly ‘let-alone’ policy, even when combined with full legal protection against molestation, although uncontroversial—and even popular—is likely to be as ineffective as it is unventuresome. Such a sentimental
attitude has not saved some other species from near or complete extinction.

From present knowledge it appears that the best area to search for the birds is that between Milford and George Sounds and the best time spring and early summer, when they are most active and vocal. There are a number of reports in recent years of them being seen or heard in the valleys of the Tutoko, Cleddau and Wild Native Rivers and in the vicinity of George Sound near Saddle Hill. But whenever an expedition makes a search (which should be soon), a dog, preferably trained and muzzled, will be essential; for, among others, Haast and Henry make a point of stating that it is just a matter of luck to find kakapo without one. In fact, it may be partly because dogs are forbidden entry to the various National Parks—in which a great deal of kakapo habitat lies—that the birds are so seldom seen nowadays.

APPENDIX

The numbers refer to the corresponding ones in Maps 1 and 2, and for each locality is given the date of the observation or of the collecting of the specimen (except with sub-fossil material) and the literature reference. If no date follows the author’s name the information is in lit. on the kakapo files of the Department of Internal Affairs, Wellington. A detailed list of the material in overseas and local museums is being prepared and will be published later. The following have so far kindly supplied details of the material in their possession: British Museum (Natural History), University Museum, Oxford; Museum of Zoology, Cambridge; Vienna Museum, American Museum of Natural History, Australian Museum, Sydney; National Museum of Victoria, Dominion Museum, Wellington; Auckland Institute and Museum, Canterbury Museum, Christchurch; Otago Museum, Dunedin; Wanganui Public Museum.

NORTH ISLAND: 1: Little Barrier Island 1903 (Oliver 1922); 2: Hunua Range abt. 1912 (McKenzie); 3: Frankton (Turbott); 4: Matiri (Duff); 5: Karamu (Turbott); 6: Waitomo (Falla, pers. comm.); 7: Upper Wanganui River 1840s (Taylor 1855); 8: Raurimu-Wanganui R. abt. 1910 (Russell, pers. comm.); 9 and 10: Kaipupu R. various dates (Buller 1869, 1892, Oliver 1895); 11: Ngaruroro R. abt. 1900 (Bathgate 1935); 12: Hulanui Ra. 1927 (McKenzie); 13: Coonoor (Falla, pers. comm.); 14: Akitio (Forster); 15: Pahiatua (Forster); 16: Waewaepa (Falla, pers. comm.); 17: Mauriceville (Anon. 1884); 18: Martinborough (Yaldwin 1956); 19: Kapiti Island 1912 (Wilkinson and Wilkinson 1952).

SOUTH ISLAND

Eastern Side: 20: Mt Stokes 1878 (Garland); 21: Maori Bay 1879 (Garland 1880); 22: Lake Grassmere (Dawson 1949); 23: Pyramid Valley (Duff 1953a); 24: Broken R. (Skinner); 25: Sumner (Haast 1874); 26: Mt Somers (Duff); 27: Pareora (Forster); 28: Timaru (Forster); 29: Castle Rock (Falla*, Hamilton 1892); 30: Owaka (Skinner); 31: Forest Hills (Skinner).

Nelson Province: 32: Takaka (Forster); 33 and 34: Goulard Downs 1850 and 1920s (Mackay 1860, Clouston, Phillips, Fella, pers. comm.); 35: Leslie Valley abt. 1895 (Birch 1947), Kakapo Valley ND (Chisholm); 36: Mt Arthur 1906 (Oliver); 37: Karamea (Forster); 38: Mt Owen 1912 (McConochie).

Northern Westland: 39: Westport area 1889 (McConochie); 40: Moonlight 1907 (Jackson); 41: Grey-Inangahua Saddle 1848 (Brunner 1952); 42: Robinson R. abt. 1925 (Jackson); 43: Boid Head ND (O'Donoghue 1924); 44: Ross before 1900 (Buller 1905, Douglas unpbl.); 45: Rangitata before 1872 (Cain); 46: Okarito before 1900 and ND (Potts 1885, Forster); 47: Cape Bay before 1900 (Haast 1864, Haast 1948).

*This sub-fossil record of Falla’s could refer to Castlepoint, on the southeastern coast of the North Island.
Bruce Bay - Jackson Bay - Lake Wanaka: 48: Paringa before 1900 (Cain, Rikitansky); 49: Okuru-Haast divide (1883-84 (Howe)); 50: Haast-Clarke junct. 1863 (Haast 1864); 51: Haast Pass 1863 (Haast 1864); 52: Burke B. abt. 1865 (Hassing 1930); 53: Jackson Bay before 1900 (Buller 1888, Amadon); 54: Jackson Bay ND (Keast, Holloway, Fleming pers. comm.); 55: Jackson Bay 1863 (Haast 1864); 56: Big Bay abt. 1903 (Henry 1904); 57: Five Fingers Ra. 1936 (Holloway), Joe R. ND (Holloway); 58: Jackson Bay ND (Keast, Holloway, Fleming pers. comm.); 59: Jackson Bay before 1900 (Buller 1888, Amadon); 60: Martin Bay area 1929 (Dept Int. Affairs); 61: L. McKerrow 1894 (Turbott); 62: Hollyford Valley 1906 (Falla), ND (Forster, Skinner); 63: Tutoko Valley 1933-37 (Anon. 1949a, Clark 1939); 64: Mitre Peak 1914 (Williams); 65: L. Ada 1900 (Thomson 1949); 66: Milford Sound before 1900 (Lyall 1862, Amadon, Goodwin, Skinner, Turbott); 67: Cleddau Valley 1935-49 (Anon. 1949b, Brewer 1949, Long 1949, Miers pers. comm.); 68: Homer Tunnel 1952 (Sansom); 69: Upper Hollyford Valley before 1900 (Turbott).

Martin Bay - Milford Sound: 59: Martin Bay before 1900 (Goodwin), ND (Skinner), Sara Hills 1863 (Hector 1863); 60: Martin Bay area 1929 (Dept Int. Affairs); 61: L. McKerrow 1894 (Turbott); 62: Hollyford Valley 1906 (Falla), ND (Forster, Skinner); 63: Tutoko Valley 1933-37 (Anon. 1949a, Clark 1939); 64: Mitre Peak 1914 (Williams); 65: L. Ada 1900 (Thomson 1949); 66: Milford Sound before 1900 (Lyall 1862, Amadon, Goodwin, Skinner, Turbott); 67: Cleddau Valley 1935-49 (Anon. 1949b, Brewer 1949, Long 1949, Miers pers. comm.); 68: Homer Tunnel 1952 (Sansom); 69: Upper Hollyford Valley before 1900 (Turbott).

Milford Sound - Doubtful Sound - Lake Te Anau: 70: Franklin Ra. abt. 1900 (Crozier 1950); 71: Wild Native R. 1952 (Miers pers. comm.); 72: L. Beddoes abt. 1951 (Sutherland); 73: Glaisnock-Wild Native divide 1951 (Sutherland); 74: Glaisnock Valley 1938 (Sutherland), Nitz Ck. 1938 (Bell pers. comm.); 75: Henry Saddle 1947 (Frazer pers. comm.); 76: Stillwater R. 1949 (Wisely); 77: George Sound 1923 (Anon. 1923); 78: Vigil Peak 1949 (Poole 1951), Ethne Saddle area 1956 (Hall-Jones); 79: East of Whitewater R. abt. 1930 (Dalrymple pers. comm.); 80: Leslie Clearing 1949 (Poole 1951); 81: Caswell Sound after 1926 (Sutherland); 82: McPigah 1954 (Purdon); 83: Birch Creek 1948 (McConochie); 84: Turret Peaks 1924 (Sutherland); 85: Mid Burn abt. 1927 (Miers pers. comm.); 86: Middle Fiord area 1863 (Capes 1863); 87: Ettrick Burn area 1875 (Hugel 1875); 88: Takahe Valley before 1900 (Duff 1952b); 89: Coronation Peak area 1955 (Duff); 90 and 91: Wilmot Pass area 1924 (Sutherland), 1927 (Oliver), 1930 (Beattie 1930), ND (Scarlett).

Doubtful Sound - Lake Hauroko: 92: Vancouver Arm - Hall Arm divide 1898 (Henry 1893); 93: Mackenzie B. area 1898 (Wilmot 1897); 94 and 95: Roa Stm. 1895 (Mackenzie 1896), abt. 1932 (Miers pers. comm.); 96: Green Point 1895 (Henry 1895c); 97: Cooper I. 1895 ff. (Henry various repts.); 98: Wet Jacket Arm 1895 ff. (Henry var. repts.); 99: Breaksea Sound area 1895 ff. (Henry var. repts.); 100: Long I. 1895 ff. (Henry var. repts.); 101: Resolution I. 1895 ff. (Henry var. repts.); 102: Parrot I. 1898 ff. (Henry var. repts.); 103: Anchor I. 1897 ff. (Henry var. repts.); 104: Indian I. 1895 ff. (Henry var. repts.); 105: Cascade Cove and 106: Pickersgill Harbour before 1900 or ND (Hall 1878, Reischek 1894, Buller 1888, Henry 1903a, Ogilvie-Grant 1905, Gray 1845a, Lyall 1852, Forster, Goodwin, Rikitansky, Skinner, Turbott, Woodrow, Falla); 107: Tableland 1887 (Reischek 1887b); 108: Northport 1863 (Hector 1863), 1887 (Reischek 1887a); 109: Chalky Sound area 1899 (Goodwin); 110: Preservation Inlet area before 1960 (Buller 1888, Andersen unpubl.), 1898 (Forster).

STEWART ISLAND: 111: Christmas Village abt. 1898 (Woodrow); 112: Port Pegasus ND (Oliver 1926, Anon. 1949b, Traill); 113: Port Pegasus - Seal Pt 1952 (Sansom), 1949 (Corboy).
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NOTES ON SOME RARE BIRDS RECENTLY
RECORDED IN HAWKE'S BAY

By D. H. BRATHWAITE

During 1954 and the first half of 1956 some interesting records of rare birds have been made in Hawke's Bay, and the writer also has further comments to make on birds previously recorded.

AUSTRALIAN COOT (Fulica atra australis): Among the notes of his observations at Lake Tutira, by the late Rev. F. R. Robertson, is the following reference to a sight record of this species. He states, 'As we walked round the bay on the s(outh) side of the pines we heard a number of pukeko. Some of these flew across to the prom(entary) on which the silver birches are growing (below the new church). As we walked round, a pair of mallard and a pair of greys moved out from the raupo into the bay, and with them a bird which was at first sight a pukeko, but (a) it was swimming, (b) it took the lead, (c) instead of showing a red plate it showed a white one. It was clearly not a pukeko. On 14/9/54 I returned to the lake and soon found the bird feeding off the end of a little strip of reeds on the n(orth) side of this bay. I was able to add another diagnostic
feature, (d) it dived again and again for weed. I got into conversation with the Hon. Ranger, Mr Gunn, and he took me round in his boat, but we did not locate the bird. The next day I made my way through some undergrowth and had a good view of the bird at a range of c.20 yards. I have not seen its legs. 'The plumage is duller than that of the pukeko.'

BLACK-FRONTED DOTTEREL (Charadrius melanops): This bird, reported for the first time in New Zealand in an earlier paper (Notornis, 6, p. 146), made a second appearance in exactly the same spot in 1955. The date when it first came back is not known, but I first saw it on July 2, and it was later shown to B. D. Heather (July 16) and Miss K. Paviour-Smith (July 31). I saw it again on August 20, and it was again seen by G. Crawford on August 27 and September 11. It will be noted that the first of these two latter dates is only two days after the species was identified at Longburn (Andrews, Notornis, 6, p. 185), so it seems reasonable to assume that at least two individuals have been in New Zealand in 1955.

LEAST SANDPIPER (Calidris minutilla): Nothing further has been seen or heard of this species, but I have recently seen a description of C. m. subminuta by G. M. Henry (A Guide to the Birds of Ceylon, p. 318, 1955), which appears to confirm my suggestion that separation of the two races of this species in the field might prove to be possible. He states that, 'Although in winter plumage it is very like the Little Stint, it may easily be distinguished, provided a good view is obtained, by (a) brown, not white, forehead; (b) grey-brown breast; (c) back and scapulars boldly streaked dark brown; (d) its legs, which are olive-yellow, or greenish, with long middle toe (about an inch).'

I have also seen a specimen of this race, obtained in the Philippine Islands by Dr R. A. Falla, which, in so far as the brownish forehead is concerned, agrees with this description; the bird seen by me and described earlier (loc. cit., p. 149) appeared to be more like the Red-necked Stint in this respect — i.e. rather white on the forehead and round the base of the bill — and my notes describe the legs as 'yellow'. Whether it would be wise, even now, to ascribe the bird to one race or the other, I am not prepared to say, but these notes are published in the hope that any observer fortunate enough to record this species again will find them of assistance in examining the bird.

WHITE-WINGED BLACK TERN (Chlidonias leucopterus): A small tern was seen on the Ahuriri Lagoon on 13/11/55, and again on 18/3/56. From previous experience of the species at Miranda on 28/2/53, I was fairly certain from its flight and general appearance that it belonged to this species, but to make sure I not only made a quick sketch, but returned later with a copy of Notornis, containing the series of sketches by Dr C. A. Fleming (Notornis, 6, p. 71, 1955) and found that the bird conformed to these in every respect, except that the black around the nape passed through and slightly ahead of the eye. The narrow, club-shaped median patch on the nape was especially noticeable.

BROAD-BILLED ROLLER (Eurystomus orientalis pacificus): On 29/4/56 I received a telephone call from Dr D. A. Bathgate to the effect that a strange bird had been seen at Havelock North. From his description I suspected that it belonged to this species, and arranged to meet him and visit the locality where the bird had been reported. It had been present in the orchard of Mr Duncan Fleming, Napier Road, Havelock North, since April 25, and we were fortunate enough to find it still there, perched on the topmost limb of a tree, from which it periodically flew down out of sight, presumably to catch insects. It had the proportions and stance of the species, which I had seen in Australia in 1951, with short legs, a rather plump body and a broad, flat-crowned head. The feet were reddish in colour, but the bill was greyish in colour, rather than red, and somewhat narrow, broadening at the gape; this, combined with the fact that the colour of the
plumage seemed duller than in adult specimens I had seen, suggests that it was a juvenile.

As the species is reported to migrate northward to Indonesia in March, its occurrence in New Zealand in April seems peculiar. So far as I am aware, this is the first record for Hawke's Bay, the 1891 record for the 'Wairoa district' mentioned in both editions of Oliver's *New Zealand Birds* applying to the locality of that name in Northland.

**ASIATIC BLACK-TAILED GODWITS IN THE FIRTH OF THAMES**

By R. B. SIBSON

It was perhaps a little unfortunate that the first claim of the Asiatic Black-tailed Godwit (*Limosa l. melanuroides*) to be added to the New Zealand list depended on a single bird which was abnormal in that its moults took place unseasonally (*Notornis*, 6, pp. 241-242).

This unsatisfactory position has now been rectified; for two other Black-tailed Godwits, identified by several observers as *melanuroides* as distinct from *haemastica*, are known to have spent the summer of 1955-56 on the Miranda coast of the Firth of Thames. Here on 4/12/55 a census of shorebirds was taken by several members of the O.S.N.Z. In the afternoon, although the tide had been dropping for some time, a small group of stilts and godwits remained in a hollow among the shell ridges, just north of the now derelict lime-works. When they were flushed, I saw that among them were two Black-tailed Godwits, one of which as it rose showed a white underwing. On this occasion the colour of the underwing of the other was not noticed, but both had a white alar bar, conspicuous in flight. They settled and began to feed in the channel, now reduced to a trickle, of Miranda Creek. The soft mud prevented any further close examination on our part.

These two birds were next seen on 2/1/56, when as the result of a very high tide the Miranda lagoon was packed with waders. Although on the ground the black-tipped tail of these godwits is not easily seen, J. C. Davenport and I were able to pick them out by the smooth grey-brown colouring of their upper parts. They tended to keep to the fringe of the flock of Bartails, but when they moved further into the flock it was the darker shading of their upper parts which enabled us to trace them. Not far away, and quite conspicuous, was the aberrant Black-tailed Godwit in nuptial plumage; but on no occasion were the three birds seen together. We were now joined by Mr and Mrs J. Prickett. As the tide dropped the great gathering of waders broke up; but the two Blacktails in which we were especially interested were among the last birds to leave the lagoon, so that we had ample time for studying them. Compared with a male Bartail they appeared slighter in the body and taller in the leg. Their bills were rather fine. When they rose, we all noted the very white under-wing thinly edged with black, and the obvious white alar bar.

On 15/1/56 they were still present in the same locality and were watched at close quarters by H. R. McKenzie, D. A. Urquhart and A. C. Hipwell, who after a car had been driven within about 25 yards of them secured two good Kodachrome 35 mm. transparencies, copies of which have been deposited in the Dominion and Auckland War Memorial Museums. Half a mile away on the southern side of the creek, the third Blacktail in its aberrant breeding dress was also seen.

I visited Miranda again on 19/2/56, accompanied by H. R. McKenzie and Commander E. P. Wilson, U.S.N. The two Blacktails were resting near some stilts within a few yards of where they had been seen on 15/1/56; and though the tide had been falling for some time they were not in any hurry to start feeding. They showed no obvious signs of
starting to assume breeding dress, whereas most male Bartails were reddening and, indeed, some already appeared to be fully red. This was the last occasion on which these two Black-tailed Godwits were seen, and they evidently left the Miranda coast. As it happened, the number of godwits which wintered in the Firth was abnormally small; and it is felt that in view of the special watch which was kept for the Blacktails by several observers, they would not have escaped notice. If, as seems likely, they were first-year birds, they may well have wintered somewhere in northern New Zealand.

**SHORT NOTES**

**STARLINGS (STURNUS VULGARIS) IN THE ROLE OF POLLINATORS**

Birds, in their search for food, frequently assume the role of pollinators and dispersal agents for the plant world. Starlings, although almost omnivorous, periodically fill the role of pollinating agents in their search for nectar. In some countries, migration of the vast hoards of starlings, it has been noted, coincides with the flowering season of certain families of plants, such as the Malvaceae, Bombacaceae and some of the large-flowering Leguminosae. Although many of these flowers are adapted to the needs of other visitors, the starlings frequent them for the copious nectar produced and in doing so may cross-pollinate the flowers by-passed by the normal pollinators. Likewise, the completion of the flowering season marks the disappearance of the starlings.

In New Zealand, as is well known, starlings were introduced to cope with some agricultural pests, but unfortunately their keen appreciation for fruit has relegated them to the 'black list' of pests, in spite of their appetite for noxious insects. However, it is not in this role that I wish to discuss them, but as pollinators. Of recent weeks (December-January) I have frequently noticed the forehead, crown and throat of starlings besmeared with pollen. At first I was under the impression that the birds had been fighting and that the discoloration on the head was due to wounds. But in view of the sociable nature of the species, fighting had to be ruled out as a cause. Closer examination revealed masses of pollen. The source of the masses of pollen had now to be located and the plant or plants identified. Observation soon revealed that numbers of starlings visited the flax bushes in swamps and elsewhere. The discoloration was due to flax pollen (Phormium).

The question which arises from this small observation is: 'What effect will this mass feeding of starlings on the flax nectar have on the distribution of the Tui (Prosthemadera novae-seelandiae) in course of time? ' Flax is one of the many sources of food of the Tui. Both species are pugnacious, but since one is 'solitary' and the other gregarious, will the starling exclude the Tui from such areas? Again, the habitats of the two birds are 'normally' different; the Tui is more or a forest bird only coming into the open to feed, whereas the starling is a bird of the open country, seldom if ever invading the bush. These are questions which only the future can answer.

C. McCANN

[In the vicinity of Auckland, starlings have frequently been seen feeding on the flowers of flax (P. tenax) and pohutukawa (M. excelsa). The Tui here is increasing as a bird of gardens and parkland.—Ed.]

**BIRDS ON OAIA 1953-4**

Oaia Island lies nearly a mile offshore at the south end of Muriwai beach. The following notes were made during visits which I made with members of the Muriwai Surf Club.

Spotted Shag (*Stictocarbo punctatus*). 29/11/53. 41 adults flying. Two nests intact, one with two almost fully grown chicks. 21/2/54. c. 130 adults flying around the island. 21/9/54. 6 adults. 30/10/54. c. 45 adults. Three nests.

White-fronted Tern (*Sterna striata*). 29/11/53. Nests 112. Chicks 12. One nest contained three eggs, 12 nests two eggs each. During the winter very few terns were about. They were again plentiful by early October.

Starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*). A pair bred in a short rocky burrow.

There is very little soil except a few pockets of rotted down guano and I could not find any petrel burrows. On 21/9/54 at least eight seals were seen and two geckos were found among the rocks.

**A. T. WIGHTMAN**

**WAX-EYES TAKEN AS FOOD BY WHITE HERON**

It is no uncommon thing to find small birds so gorged with food that they are temporarily unable to fly properly. I have more than once handled Goldfinches (*Carduelis carduelis*) in this condition. Wax-eyes (*Zosterops lateralis*) will glut themselves with mutton fat which in Westland is commonly kept in large tins in timber yards. Some years ago a White Heron (*E. alba*) used to frequent one such timber yard near Whataroa. It would stand quietly by the tin, seizing and swallowing those Wax-eyes whom gluttony had rendered incapable of escaping. This continued for some months, the Wax-eyes never seeming to learn that the White Heron was their enemy.

**J. G. PENNIKET**

**HARRIER ATTACKING BITTERN**

The degree to which the Harrier (*C. approximans*) preys on other birds has been the subject of much controversy, so the following note is pertinent. On 8/11/55 I observed a Bittern (*B. poiciloptilus*) in flight under attack by a Harrier at Lake Hayes. The Harrier dived at the Bittern continually and gradually forced it to lose height. At each swoop it struck with its talons, but the Bittern parried with its bill every time. The fight lasted for ten minutes until the Bittern made the shore-line and escaped.

**M. M. SMALL**

**'CRESTED' BELLBIRDS**

While investigating the alleged appearance of a Bulbul (*Pycnonotus cafer*) in this (Rotorua) area, several reports of the occurrence of a strange bird have come to my notice.

Three boys who visited the Auckland Museum during the Christmas holidays, after viewing the specimens of Bulbul on exhibition there, declared to the attendant that they had seen the same bird near Lake Rotoiti. Unfortunately Mr Turbott was away at the time of their visit, otherwise the matter would doubtless have been cleared up then and there. One of the boys left a Rotorua address with the attendant, which proved to be incorrect, and all subsequent efforts to trace the lad at Mr Turbott's request have proved futile. I have little doubt, however, that the supposed Bulbul was none other than a Bellbird (*Anthornis melanura*) wearing a temporary head adornment.

During late November and early December when the flax (*Phormium*) is in bloom, the nectar-loving Bellbird pays much attention to this plant, a fact that is generally well known. In the process of extracting the nectar from the flax flowers, the bird's head comes into contact with the dark-red — almost purple — pollen, and on withdrawing the bill a certain amount of nectar adheres to the inside walls of the 'petals'. The next bird visiting the same flower contacts both pollen and nectar, and on withdrawing the head, the feathers of forehead and crown are thus raised, giving the impression of a crest or tuft on the bird's head. I have noticed the same effect on the heads of Starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*) and Silvereye (*Zosterops lateralis*). The act of withdrawing the bill bends the head feathers forward, and these being dusted with pollen and smeared with nectar—a combination
possessing the properties of mucilage — the feathering would remain erect for some time.

Early in last December Mr Roy Hendren, a bus driver, informed me that he had seen that day a strange bird 'like a Bellbird' (which species he knew well), but the head had 'a red horny plate like a Pukeko's, with a few feathers sticking up at the back'. On my interrogating him, he disclosed that the bird was resting on a 'korari' (flax stem).

In this instance the compound of nectar and pollen had formed an excrescence on the forehead and crown, some occipital feathers being erect and apparently stiff.

M. S. BLACK

FERNBIRDS ON THE GOULAND DOWNS

My wife and I spent Easter 1956 at the Gouland Downs, using the hut at the head of the Aorere Valley in the Collingwood County. About fifty yards from the hut I found a pair of Fernbirds (Bowdleria punctata) feeding in the snowgrass, but it was not until the next day that I was able to watch them closely. They were near a streamlet about thirty yards from where I first saw them; and as I sat quietly it was not long before they would approach to within about a foot of me. One bird seemed to have only five tail feathers. Their sharp 'pit' call was quite clear, and not the 'utick' as mentioned in some books. This 'pit' call was used only occasionally, but most of the time they would communicate by puffing up the throat and then uttering a throaty muffled purring sound.

Once they had become accustomed to my presence, they fed freely on the tender shoots of the snowgrass and every now and then they would take turns at having a bath in a shrub-covered pool. After a bath they would hop into a small shrub, preen themselves and start feeding. On one occasion a blue-bottle flew off my knee and one of the Fernbirds made an attempt to catch it. A short while later I saw the other bird find and eat a brown caterpillar ½ in. long. Although the Fernbirds cannot move a great distance in one burst, they are quick and can change direction freely, being especially at home in the snowgrass. They part the grass with their heads and perch themselves by hanging onto the stalks on either side of the body with feet split sideways.

About half an hour after making these observations I was chopping wood outside the hut and found a half-developed huhu type of grub, ½ in. long, with which I decided to test the birds. Returning to the spot where the birds were feeding, I first tried them out by putting the grub on a white handkerchief, but no notice was taken; so waiting my chance, I threw the grub to one of the birds in the open. As soon as the grub moved the bird seized it. After killing it the Fernbird juggled with the grub until it was end on, and then swallowed it.

Fernbirds appear to be quite numerous in this area. They keep very close to the ground. Their flight is rather weak and the longest flutter was about twenty feet at a height of about four feet. I once saw a pair sitting in stunted beech trees about six feet off the ground.

M. J. BREEN

NATIVE BIRD LIFE IN A PINE PLANTATION

On the 4/3/56 I obtained permission to visit a pine plantation known as Fail’s Forest, ten miles from Taupo. The ranger, Mr A. Bailey, conducted a friend and myself through the plantation. For the first few miles no birds were visible, although on two occasions, when Mr Bailey stopped the truck, we heard Whiteheads in the distance.

It was not until we had penetrated twelve miles into the heart of the plantation that we actually saw them. Quite suddenly, it seemed, the loud twittering of a considerable number of birds could be heard above the engine of the truck. We stopped and got out. There were birds on every tree around us: Silveryeyes, Grey Warblers, Fantails, Tomtits, Bush Robins and a flock of Whiteheads. Never before have I seen such a large con-
centration of small native birds. There must have been at least fifty or sixty birds visible, and, judging from the noise, many more hidden in the tree tops. The birds did not appear to be at all agitated by our presence. Several Robins, Fantails and Tom tits came closer to inspect us. The birds were feeding on insects in the higher branches of the trees. Although it was mid-morning, the animated conversation of the birds was comparable to that heard in the dawn.

Upon enquiry, I learned that this particular area, where the birds were concentrated, was six miles from the nearest native forest, Te Apuahou, and eight miles from Ta hara Mt. Te Apuahou Forest consists mainly of Five-finger, Rewarewa, Whau, Tutu, all second growth, as fire destroyed that stretch of forest some years ago. The plantation at the spot where I observed the birds consisted of Pinus radiata and was planted in 1932. There was scarcely any native growth visible in the fire-breaks, which are bulldozed fairly regularly. In another part of the forest we saw a large flock of Silverye s feeding high up in the trees.

On our return journey I observed two Blackbirds, a Song-thrush and several Pipits on the outskirts of the plantation.  

Mrs AVIS ACRES

BIRDS ON TRAWLER 'THOMAS CURRELL' IN COOK STRAIT

During a period of fog and drizzle between 10 and 13 April 1956 a number of land birds appeared aboard the trawler 'Thomas Currell' when she was operating between Cape Campbell and Kaikoura. Some sea birds also came on board. Details were as follows—

10 April: Position seven to ten miles off Welds Cone, eight miles south of Cape Campbell; light drizzle. Lying to, awaiting daylight to start fishing operations. Four Fairy Prions came on board between 8 p.m. and midnight.

11 April: Position three to four miles off, steaming south towards Kaikoura; thick fog over land. At 9.30 a.m. eight small birds, which appeared to be Goldfinches, flew by in an erratic manna and disappeared in a southerly direction. At 11 a.m. seven more small birds, this time definitely Goldfinches, circled the vessel, and then, after appearing to be about to settle, made off to the south.

12 April: Position four to six miles off, just north of Kaikoura; intermittent fog, thick over the land. A Fantail came on board at 9 a.m. and seemed to be very exhausted; its tail feathers were wet. It immediately drank salt water and died some three hours later.

Between 8 p.m. and midnight several land birds were seen flying about the vessel in the glare of the deck lights, and their call notes could be clearly heard. Two Fairy Prions and a Diving Petrel came on board during this time.

13 April: Position five to eight miles off and south of Cape Campbell; fog cleared at noon. At 7 a.m. a Song-thrush, a Goldfinch and two Pipits or Skylarks were on board.

Observations of the above type are not unusual aboard 'Thomas Currell'. In rainy weather or in fog, the birds seem to get dazzled by the deck lights. Prions and Storm Petrels are the most common of the sea birds, and Diving Petrels appear occasionally. When found the birds are gathered up and placed in the lifeboat, where they remain until the first sign of daylight; then they fly away. On occasions land birds have stayed about the ship for two or three days when fog or rain has persisted.

F. ABERNETHY

POMARINE SKUA AT WAIKANAE

On 26 April 1953 we twice saw a large Stercorarius Skua chasing a Caspian Tern up the meandering tidal estuary of Waikanae River. The Skua appeared larger than the Caspian, and had projecting central tail feathers, conspicuously longer than the rest. The projecting feathers were broad and
blunt-ended like two fingers, and appeared so at all angles as the bird turned in pursuit of the Tern. The bird was wholly dark in plumage, and the white wing flashes formed by the bases of the primaries were inconspicuous.

Arctic Skuas are smaller than Caspian Terns and seldom chase them. Their projecting tail feathers, if visible at all, are pointed and inconspicuous. The projecting feathers of the Pomarine Skua are broad and are twisted so that they appear as broad from the side as from below. Two days later one of us (R.K.D.) examined mounted specimens of a Caspian Tern and an Arctic Skua. From the difference in size and the structure of the tail feathers it was obvious that the bird observed on the wing could not have been an Arctic Skua. The Waikanae bird is identified as a Pomarine Skua (Stercorarius pomarinus) from its larger size and conspicuous broad blunt central tail feathers.

R. K. DELL and C. A. FLEMING

ARCTIC SKUA CHASING SMALL PASSERINE

On 17/6/56 an Arctic Skua (S. parasiticus) was seen engaged in a typical pursuit-flight after an invisible quarry far out over the shallows at the southern end of the Firth of Thames. As the pursuit drew nearer, the quarry was seen to be a small finch-like bird with a loping flight, most probably a Yellowhammer (E. citrinella). When hard pressed by the Skua the small bird would drop suddenly and almost vertically to sea level; and while the Skua was recovering from overshooting the mark, the small bird would rise steeply and gain both height and distance before the Skua was in a position to swoop again. After this had been repeated several times, the small bird reached the safety of the saltlings and the sea-wall, where other finches, especially Goldfinches (C. carduelis) and Yellowhammers, were plentiful.

According to the Handbook of British Birds (vol. 5, p. 134) the Arctic Skua on its breeding grounds habitually takes small birds, among which four species of passerines are listed; but in their southern winter quarters opportunities for capturing such small birds cannot often come their way. There are now enough reported occurrences of Arctic Skuas between May and August to suggest that a few, probably immature birds, remain in northern New Zealand waters throughout the winter. It seems, therefore, that these would be an added hazard to the small passerines, mostly non-indigenous, which brave considerable distances of open sea to turn up as winter visitors on the outer islands of the Hauraki Gulf.

R. B. SIBSON

GODWITS CHASING DOMESTIC PIGEONS

A farmer living on the southern shore of Manukau Harbour near Clark's Creek witnessed an unusual spectacle when some of a passing flight of about twenty Godwits (L. lapponica) turned to pursue some Homing Pigeons (C. livia var. domestica). The pigeons were circling the pine-trees near the farmhouse when five godwits broke away from the main flight and, whether in playful mood or not, joined the pigeons.

In the display of aero-acrobatics that followed, the pigeons were hopelessly outclassed. So much were they dived at and tossed about that four of the pigeons literally tumbled out of the sky to take refuge in the pine-trees. The remaining pigeon, a white one, was not so lucky, for it was borne aloft and after a gruelling experience escaped to the pigeon loft exhausted.

As a finale, the main flight of godwits returned to circle the pines once, and then disappeared in the distance.

R. D. WRIGHT

[D. A. Urquhart has described (Notornis 6, p. 159) how on this coast a White-winged Black Tern (C. leucopterus) was chased by godwits.—Ed.]
REVIEW

A Laboratory and Field Manual of Ornithology, by O. S. Pettingall Jnr. Third edition, 1956. Revised. (Burgess Publishing Co, Minneapolis 15, Minnesota; five dollars.)

Here is a golden treasury for the guidance and edification of the ornithological student, not only in America but for those domiciled elsewhere. Originally prepared in 1937 as a series of typewritten outlines for the direction of students in 'class, laboratory and field', it has been expanded into a well-bound, well-produced volume, though retaining its format; and it now has twenty sections. No better indication of its scope can be given than to enumerate these sections — topography, feathers and feather-tracts, anatomy and physiology, systematics, external structural characters, laboratory identification, plumages and plumage colouration, distribution, migration, field identification, bird ecology, bird communities, bird territory, bird song, mating, nests and nest-building, eggs, egg-laying and incubation, young and their development, parental care and bird populations. Then follow several useful appendices which include, besides many mentioned in each section, invaluable bibliographical references and a list of current ornithological journals, in which Notornis is included.

This book of 379 pages, well illustrated by appropriate drawings and maps, is essential to all serious students and is an invaluable source of information to all interested in bird life.

A Rabelaisian flavour is suggested in a list of descriptive words, given as those commonly used to describe bird song!

R.H.D.S.

THE SOCIETY'S GREETING CARDS

Have you sent in your order for some of 'our' Christmas Cards yet? If not, don't delay. We have nearly sold out our stock of 12,500 cards. More cards are being printed. Just send your name and address, with the remittance stating the number of cards you want, adding exchange to cheques drawn outside Auckland, to The O.S.N.Z. (Card Committee), P.O. Box 3496, AUCKLAND.

Rates: ½ doz., 5/-; or 9/- per doz. Add 6d postage to order. Or 13 cards for a 10/- note, or 26 cards for £1 note.

Designs: Silvereye, Rifleman, Gannet, Spotted Shag, Godwit Banded Dotterel, Pied Stilt and Dabchick.

N.B.: Your money will be refunded if stocks are sold out.
HIGHEST QUALITY AT REASONABLE COST

The model illustrated above is
7 x 35 C.F. – Price £27

We have traded in optical and scientific equipment for 70 years and recommend Prominar as the finest binoculars we have ever examined. Prices are very reasonable: £27 for 7 x 35; £34/10/- for 7 x 50. The following features make Prominar particularly suitable for Birdwatchers:

HIGH LUMINOSITY  BRILLIANT OPTICS
LIGHT WEIGHT     QUICK FOCUSING
PENCIL-SHARP IMAGE  HANDY COMPACT SHAPE

Prominar Binoculars are supplied with genuine hide cases and are available in sizes 7 x 50, 7 x 35, 6 x 30, 10 x 50, and in the special size 7 x 35 (extra wide field).

Copy of an independent report on Prominar by an experienced New Zealand instrument maker is available on request.

WE WILL SERVICE PROMINAR BINOCULARS FOR YOU

Watson Victor Limited
Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch, Dunedin